

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/980,956	06/25/2002	Donald Jafrey	A-71184/DJB/MAK 3450	
7590 03/25/2005			EXAMINER	
Michael A Kaufman			CREPEAU, JONATHAN	
Flehr Hohbach	Test			
Albritton & Herbert			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
4th Embarcadero Center Suite 3400			1746	
San Francisco, CA 94111-4187			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ka/	h/			
-		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/980,956	JAFFREY, DONALD			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jonathan S. Crepeau	1746			
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHOI THE M/ - Extension after SI - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure - Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. criod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ju	<u>ıne 2002</u> .				
2a)□ T	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	n of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□ C	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ C	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19 and 21-25</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are objected to.					
8)∐ C	laim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	. ·			
Application	n Papers					
9)∐ TI	ne specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
a)⊠ 1 2 3	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau te the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attaches - :: 44	a.					
Attachment(s	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte			
	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Io(s)/Mail Date 6/26/02.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			
.S. Patent and Trade		,				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Suggestions

1. In claims 3, 4 and 23, the "preferably" clauses could be deleted or amended to increase the clarity of the claims. Appropriate correction is suggested but not required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 6, 7, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DE 19523637. The reference is directed to a separator plate capable of being used in a fuel cell. The plate comprises a steel substrate (1), a diffusion barrier (2) comprising a layer of copper (see top of page 5 of machine translation), and a corrosion protection layer (3). The steel of layer (1) is inherently oxidation resistant and is preferably adjacent the cathode of the fuel cell while the corrosion protection layer is preferably adjacent the anode (see page 3, approx. line 35 of translation).

Thus, the instant claims are anticipated.

Application/Control Number: 09/980,956

Art Unit: 1746

4. Claims 1, 5, 6, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Badwal et al (WO 96/28855 or US 5,942,349). The '349 patent is directed to a fuel cell interconnect device comprising a plate (34). The plate comprises a chromium-containing substrate, a chromia protection layer thereon, and a metal oxide layer on the surface of the chromia. The chromia layer may comprise copper (see col. 4, line 45) and is thus considered to read on the "layer of copper" recited in claim 1. The metal oxide layer is oxidation resistant and

is adjacent the cathode of the fuel cell (see col. 4, line 23). The layer further has a thickness of

Page 3

Thus, the instant claims are anticipated.

1-100 microns (see col. 4, line 17).

5. Claims 1, 6, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 60-154470. In the Derwent citation, the reference teaches a separator comprising aluminum or copper plated with titanium carbide. TiC is an inherently oxidation-resistant material. Based on the Figure, the separator appears to be coated on both the cathode and anode sides with TiC.

Thus, the instant claims are anticipated.

6. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 11-14, 17-19, and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shinoda et al (U.S. Patent 4,873,149). The reference is directed to vibration-

damper metal sheets. Such sheets are capable of use as separtor members in fuel cells (the preambles of the claims being directed to a future intended use). Regarding claims 1 and 21, the sheet comprises two sheets of aluminum bronze connected together (see col. 17, line 15). The aluminum bronze comprises 8.1 wt% Al (see Table 27). The finished metal sheet has a thickness of 1.6 mm and is further annealed at 750C (see col. 17, line 35). Therefore, an alumina layer would inherently be formed on the sheet as recited in claim 24. Regarding claims 1 and 14, such alumina would be formed on both sides of the sheet. Regarding claims 6, 11, and 17, the alumina is also considered to be a "coating." Regarding claims 12, 13, 18, and 19, the limitations of these claims are considered to be process limitations and are accorded little patentable weight (MPEP §2113).

Thus, the instant claims are anticipated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 60-154470.

 The reference is applied to claims 1, 6, and 14 for the reasons stated above. However, the abstract of the reference does not expressly teach the thicknesses of the copper layer or TiC layer

Application/Control Number: 09/980,956

Art Unit: 1746

as recited in claims 2, 3 and 5, or that the separator comprises a copper-based alloy comprising Al in an amount of 50 wt% or less, as recited in claim 4.

However, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because the artisan would be sufficiently skilled to manipulate the thicknesses of either the Cu plate or the TiC coating to affect characteristics such as electrical resistance and strength. It has been held that the discovery of an optimum value of a result effective variable in a known process is ordinarily within the skill of the art. *In re Boesch*, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). As such, the claimed thickness ranges are considered to be obvious to the skilled artisan.

Regarding claim 4, this claim recites that Cu is alloyed with another element selected from Al, among others. The abstracts of the JP reference disclose that the plate can be made of copper or aluminum. The courts have held that it is *prima facie* obvious to combine two compositions each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose, in order to form a third composition which is to be used for the very same purpose. *In re Kerkhoven*, 205 USPQ 1069 (CCPA 1980). As such, the combination of the aluminum and copper, at a nominal composition such as 50/50, would be rendered obvious.

9. Claims 8, 9, 10, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE 19523637 in view of WO 99/13522.

Application/Control Number: 09/980,956 Page 6

Art Unit: 1746

DE '637 is applied to claims 1, 6, 7, and 14 for the reasons stated above. However, the reference does not expressly teach that the steel layer comprises an alumina layer thereon, as recited in claims 8, 9, 15, and 16.

WO '522 is directed to a fuel cell interconnector. As set forth on page 6, line 6 et seq., the reference teaches that the main body of the interconnector is made of a heat-resisting steel comprising aluminum in a high enough amount to form alumina on the surface of the steel at cell operating conditions, or is coated with a protective coating of alumina.

Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because the artisan would be motivated to use the heat-resistant steel of WO '522 as the steel layer of DE '637, or to coat the steel layer of DE '637 with a layer of alumina. At page 6, line 12, WO '522 discloses that this treatment renders the surface impervious to oxygen and chromium-oxide based gases. As such, the artisan would be motivated to use the heat-resistant steel of WO '522 as the steel layer of DE '637, or to coat the steel layer of DE '637 with a layer of alumina to achieve oxidation resistance. Furthermore, the open face of the layer (1) in DE '637 could subsequently be adjacent to either the cathode or the anode of the fuel cell, thereby rendering these limitations obvious.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claim 20 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1746

11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 20, none of the applied references teach or fairly suggest plural layers comprising the claimed materials on the layer of copper or copper-based alloy.

Conclusion

12. The following notes are made with respect to the references cited in the International Search Report which bear an "X" label that were not applied above:

The abstract of JP 9-157001 does not anticipate claim 1 because it does not disclose a separate oxidation-resistant layer on the surface of the copper-containing layer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Crepeau whose telephone number is (571) 272-1299. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr, can be reached at (571) 272-1414. The phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 272-1700. Documents may be faxed to the central fax server at (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 1746

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jonathan Crepeau Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1746

March 18, 2005